## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

LEMUEL FRED HENTZ,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil No. 07-174-AS
-	)	ODDED
V.	)	<u>ORDER</u>
SHERRI HOLMAN, Law Library Coordinator,	)	
Eastern Oregon Correctional Institution, sued in	)	
her individual and official capacities; ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

Lemuel Fred Hentz SID #6750414 2500 Westgate Pendleton, OR 97801

Plaintiff Pro Se

Jacqueline Sadker Leonard W. Williamson STATE OF OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Trial Division - Corrections Litigation 1162 Court Street, N.E. Salem, OR 97301

Attorneys for Defendants

Case 3:07-cv-00174-AS Document 66 Filed 01/08/08 Page 2 of 2

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Donald C Ashmanskas filed Findings and Recommendation (#54) on September 27, 2007, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); <u>McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.</u>, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas's Findings and Recommendation (#54) dated September 27, 2007, in its entirety. Defendants' motion (#32) for summary judgment is granted and this matter is dismissed. In addition, plaintiff's motion (#3) as amended (#14), for preliminary injunction is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

DATED this 7th day of January, 2008.

/s/ Robert E. Jones ROBERT E. JONES U.S. District Judge

2 - ORDER